



# KERALA GAZETTE

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. LVI വാല്യം 56	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	15th March 2011 2011 മാർച്ച് 15 24th Phalguna 1932 1932 ഫാൽഗുനം 24	No. നമ്പർ	11
-----------------------	---	---	--------------	----

## PART I

### Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

#### Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

##### ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 194/2011/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd February 2011.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Shri Mahadeva Kshethra Samithi, Mattannur P.O.-670 702 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Malabar Devaswom Employees Union (CITU), Shri Mahadeva Kshethram, Mattannur Unit, Mattannur P.O.-670 702 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby

direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

##### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri K. V. Madhu, Security Employee w.e.f. 15-10-2008 by the management of Shri Mahadeva Kshethram, Mattannur is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 213/2011/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 8th February 2011.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The President, Dharmadom Service Co-operative Bank, Head Office, Palayad, Palayad P. O., Thalassery-670 661, 2. The Secretary, Dharmadom Service Co-operative Bank, Head Office, Palayad, Palayad P.O., Thalassery-670 661 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri M.K. Gopinathan, Meethale Kolothu House, Meloor P. O., Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri M. K. Gopinathan, Helper, Consumer Store w.e.f. 2-5-2009 by the management of Dharmadom Service Co-operative Bank, Head Office, Palayad P. O. is justifiable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 226/2011/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2011.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Senthilkumar (RC Owner, KL 9 K 6513), S/o Sadanandan, Krishna Nivas, Kavilpadu, Olavakkodu, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala Motor Thozhilali Centre (HMS), J. P. Bhavan, S. N. Buildings, G. B. Road, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri George, Shri Vinu, Shri Jobi, Shri Soman, Shri Dasan, Shri Varghese and Shri Jibu of the Motor Transport Undertaking KL 9 K6513 by the employer is justifiable? If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 231/2011/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 10th February 2011.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri T. C. V. Pradeep Kumar, Proprietor, KL 13K 2512, Thekkekara Chuvatta Valiya Veetil, Annur P. O.,

Payyannur, Kannur District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kannur District Lorry Drivers and Cleaners Association (CITU), Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri V. Ramesan, Driver, Lorry No. KL 13K 2512 by the employer is justifiable? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 235/2011/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 10th February 2011.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sathyavathan, Proprietor, Sindhu Nivas, Mundakkal West, Kollam-691 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon Hotel and Tea Shop Workers Union (INTUC), Mundakkal, Kollam-691 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the retrenchment of Shri T. K. Sukumaran, Supplier on 21-5-2009 by the Proprietor, Sindhu Hotel, Omana Nivas, Mundakkal West, Kollam is justifiable and what relief he is entitled to get?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 238/2011/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 10th February 2011.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Lokhart Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the

General Secretary Highrange Estate Supervisors and Employees Union, Reg. No. 6-01/06, Ganesh Bhavan, Pambanar P. O., Idukki-685 537 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Bhairavan, 3654, Permanent Worker, Lokhart Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki by the Management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,  
*Under Secretary to Government.*

---